



	Work Package and Outcome ref.nr Title Type	2.4.1 Workshop assessment tools Report
Expected Deliverable/R esults/ Outcomes	Description (with risks and assumption)	Assessment tools was created to record the feedback of the workshops on all the participants. (Trainers and teachers and practicing optometrists). The consortium decided not to evaluate the knowledge and skills of the participating faculties as faculties will not accept it. There is risk of no participation if we try to evaluate the teachers for their competencies. Instead we can develop one questionnaire for the feedback of the faculties participating in the TTT. The feedback form has total of 14 questions, in which 3 are open ended questions. The feedback form covers relevance, usefulness of topic in daily work, and action plan for teaching. The feedback form is developed using Google forms so that it can be easily accessed by any participant in any country.
	Completion date	March 2018 (M15)
	Languages	English
Target groups	X Teachings X Trainees X Other – pra	staff
Dissemination level	X Department Institution	nt / Faculty X Local X National
Supporting documents	Minutes of meeting_plan assessment of	

MEETING MINUTES – Planning of TTT

Project: OCULUS - Optometry CUrriculum for Lifelong Learning through ErasmUS						
WP /Activity N°:	2.4	WP Description:	Train the trainer workshop			
Date:	12.12.17	Location:	Skype			
Time: 13.30 (IST) Recorder: Vidyut						
Attendees: Bente Monica, Ellen, Ariela, Lissette, Vidyut						

Aim of the meeting: To plan TTT and to develop assessment questionnaire for TTT.

Discussion and points to follow-up:

Theme	Notes
Planning of TTT	Ellen and Lissette will coordinate with India and Israel respectively to plan TTT and provide support for development of new topics/ pedagogy.
Pre-post assessment	Ariela told that Israel faculties will not like assessment of their competencies, specially as we are discussing pre-post assessment of competencies that are covered in TTT. If we plan to do so, we are at risk of faculties not attending the TTT. Same is true in case of Indian faculties also.
	Hence we will not assess knowledge and skills of participating faculties. We will just take feedback of TTT from participants.
Questionnaire development	It is decided that Vidyut and Catherine will develop the feedback type of questionnaire for TTTs, using google form.



Feedback form for TTT workshops: (English version)



Feedback form TTT

Kindly submit your response with respect to TTT program

* Required

1. Venue of TTT program *

Mark only one oval.

- Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, India.
- Chitkara University, Punjab, India
- University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India
- Hadassah Academic College, Israel
- Bar Ilan University, Israel
- Sankara Eye Hospital, Banglore, India.

2, Topic for TTT program

Mark only one oval.

- Retina diagnostics
- Binocular vision and vision therapy
- visual perception
- refractive surgeries
- Glaucoma
- Pedagogy sessions like CBL, PBL
- EBP training
- Other

Commencing Date of TTT session

Example: December 15, 2012

Objectives of TTT were known to you before starting the program *

Mark only one oval.

Yes
No





5. Objectives were related to your job *

Mark only one oval.

_)	Yes
-	٦.	No

ć

Not applicable

6,

Were the tutorials pitched at an appropriate level for you?

Mark only one oval.

Yes No

7. Did the tutorials and workshops encourage interactivity and discussion? Mark only one oval.

- Yes
- No

Not applicable

Was everyone encouraged to contribute to discussion?*

Mark only one oval.

х.	Y	Ì۵	6
 £.,			

No

Not applicable

ť

Will the subject matter be useful to you in your teaching role?* Mark only one oval.

\bigcirc	Yes
\bigcirc	No
,	

Not applicable

10,

Score optometry sessions from 1 (least/ bad) to 5 (most/ excellent) Mark only one oval per row.

	5	4	Ę	3 (2	1
Easy to follow (\mathcal{T}	X	$\supset C$	\supset	\supset
Adequate to cover the topic (X	X	X	\mathcal{X}	\supset
Delivery of content by trainer (X	X	\mathcal{X}	\mathcal{X}	\supset
Trainer's presentation style (_	X	X	X	\mathcal{X}	\supset
Examples/ demonstrations given(X	\mathcal{X}	Σ	Σ	\supset





11. Score pedagogy sessions from 1 (least/ bad) to 5 (most/ excellent)

Mark only one oval per row.

	l	5 4		з ;	2	1
Easy to follow	C	\mathcal{T}	х	\mathcal{X}	\supset	\supset
Adequate to cover the topic	C		X	\mathcal{X}	\mathcal{X}	\supset
Delivery of content by trainer	C	\mathbf{x}	\mathbf{x}	\mathcal{X}	\mathcal{X}	\supset
Trainer's presentation style	C	\supset	Σ	Σ	Σ	\supset
Examples/ demonstrations given	C		Σ	\supset	\supset	\supset

12. Mention Plus/ positive points about the program *

13,

Montion Minus / negative points about the program *

14.

action plan resulted from program

